# United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Los Angeles Judge Robert Kwan, Presiding Courtroom 1675 Calendar

Friday, January 14, 2022

**Hearing Room** 

1675

9:00 AM 2:00-00000

Chapter

#0.00 All hearings on this calendar will be conducted remotely, using ZoomGov video and audio.

Parties in interest and members of the public may connect to the video and audio feeds, free of charge, using the connection information provided below.

Individuals may participate by ZoomGov video and audio using a personal computer (equipped with camera, microphone and speaker), or a handheld mobile device (such as an iPhone or Android phone). Individuals may opt to participate by audio only using a telephone (standard telephone charges may apply).

Neither a Zoom nor a ZoomGov account is necessary to participate and no pre-registration is required. The audio portion of each hearing will be recorded electronically by the Court and constitutes its official record.

Video/audio web address: https://cacb.zoomgov.com/j/1613729864

ZoomGov meeting number: 161 372 9864

**Password:** 738179

Telephone conference lines: 1 (669) 254 5252 or 1 (646) 828 7666

# United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Los Angeles Judge Robert Kwan, Presiding Courtroom 1675 Calendar

Friday, January 14, 2022

**Hearing Room** 

1675

9:00 AM CONT...

Chapter

For more information on appearing before Judge Kwan by ZoomGov, please see the "Notice of Video and Telephonic Appearance Procedures for Judge Robert N. Kwan's Cases" on the Court's website at: https://www.cacb.uscourts.gov/judges/honorable-robert-n-kwan under the "Telephonic Instructions" section.

To assist in creating a proper record and for the efficiency of these proceedings, please:

- Connect early so that you have time to check in.
- Change your Zoom name to include your calendar number, first initial and last name, and client name (ex. 5, R. Smith, ABC Corp.) if appearing by video. This can be done by clicking on "More" and "Rename" from the Participants list or by clicking on the three dots on your video tile.
- Mute your audio to minimize background noise unless and until it is your turn to speak. Consider turning your video off until it is your turn to appear.
- Say your name every time you speak.
- Disconnect from the meeting by clicking "Leave" when you have completed your appearance(s).

Docket 0

**Tentative Ruling:** 

- NONE LISTED -

Judge Robert Kwan, Presiding Courtroom 1675 Calendar

Friday, January 14, 2022

**Hearing Room** 

1675

9:00 AM

2:13-14135 Art and Architecture Books of the 21st Century

Chapter 11

Adv#: 2:15-01679 THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS OF T v.

#1.00 CONT'D TRIAL RE: Application of Sam S. Leslie, plan agent, for issuance of order approving the issuance of writ of execution and appointment of plaintiff as substitute custodian for U.S. Marshal in furtherance of execution of writ and notice of levy; and for order approving sale of artworks free and clear of any claim of lien or interest fr. 12/2/21, 12/3/21, 12/10/21

Docket 713

## **Tentative Ruling:**

Updated tentative ruling as of 1/14/22. The property whose ownership in dispute, the alleged Banksy artwork, is an unusual type of property, street art. The parties focus on general property law and landlord-tenant law, but have not addressed the specific unique characteristics of the property as perhaps a new category of property, street-art. See Salib, "The Law of Banksy: Who Owns Street Art?," 82 U. Chi. L. Rev. 2293 (2015); Carron, "Street Art: Is Copyright for 'Losers'?: A Comparative Prospective on the French and American Legal Approach to Street Art," 91 N.Y. St. B.J. 34 (Nov. 2019). In order to determine the parties' ownership claims, the court expects to consider general legal principles regarding acquisition and ownership of property, such as California Civil Code Section 1000. See also, 13 Witkin, Summary of California Law, Personal Property, Section 28 (11th ed. 2021); 3 Miller and Starr California Real Estate, Section 9.2 (4th ed. 2021) (property as a "bundle of rights"); see also, 3 Miller and Starr California Real Estate 4th. Section 9.42 (tests for whether an item is a fixture). 400 South La Brea argues that it owns the Banksy as being on a fixture per the lease. The plan agent argues that Ace Museum had owned it subject to his judgment levy because it is a trade fixture or removed graffiti. Is the Banksy mere graffiti, or something more? These are some of the issues that the court is considering. Appearances are required on 1/14/22, but counsel and self-represented parties must appear through Zoom for Government in accordance with the court's remote appearance instructions.

Prior tentative ruling as of 1/6/22. No tentative ruling on the merits will be

Judge Robert Kwan, Presiding Courtroom 1675 Calendar

Friday, January 14, 2022

**Hearing Room** 

1675

9:00 AM

### **CONT...** Art and Architecture Books of the 21st Century

Chapter 11

issued for trial. Appearances are required on 1/14/22, but counsel and self-represented parties must appear through Zoom for Government in accordance with the court's remote appearance instructions.

Prior tentative ruling. Regarding the issue of whether the court may enter a final judgment on the plan agent's claim to the Banksy artwork, the court agrees with the 400 South La Brea Defendants that the answer is no because the plan agent's claim is a noncore but otherwise related to the bankruptcy case subject to the restrictions of Stern v. Marshall, 564 U.S. 462 (2011). The plan agent's claim is based on California state law collection procedures to enforce the judgment entered by this court in this adversary proceeding against defendant Ace Museum through a judgment levy on property of the judgment debtor, Ace Museum, which is a nonbankruptcy debtor party, but legal title to the levied property is contested by third parties, the 400 South La Brea Defendants. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure 720.170(d). the court will conduct a hearing to determine the third party claim of the 400 South La Brea Defendants to the property levied upon by the reorganized debtor as the judgment creditor of defendant Ace Museum. The dispute is whether the property belongs to Ace Museum subject to the reorganized debtor's judgment levy or to the 400 South La Brea Defendants, that is, the dispute is over ownership as to nonbankruptcy debtor parties. Thus, the court disagrees with the plan agent's characterization of his claim being a core proceeding over whether the property was part of the bankruptcy estate under 11 U.S.C. 541. Regardless whether the 400 South La Brea Defendants have asserted counterclaims in this adversary proceeding, this particular dispute involving the Banksy artwork is noncore because the action at issue does not "stem from the bankruptcy itself, nor would it necessarily be resolved in the claims allowance process." Stern v. Marshall, 564 U.S. at 499. Absent consent to the bankruptcy court's authority to enter a final judgment on the matter, which is not manifested here, the bankruptcy court may only proceed with hearing the dispute by issuing proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law for review and approval by the district court, which has the authority to enter a final judgment. Executive Benefits Insurance Agency v. Arkison, 573 U.S. 25, 35-37 (2014).

The court will discuss scheduling of the trial with the parties and conducting the trial remotely.

Los Angeles

Judge Robert Kwan, Presiding Courtroom 1675 Calendar

Friday, January 14, 2022

**Hearing Room** 

1675

9:00 AM

**CONT...** Art and Architecture Books of the 21st Century

Chapter 11

Appearances are required on 4/7/21, but counsel and self-represented parties must appear through Zoom for Government in accordance with the court's remote appearance instructions.

### **Party Information**

### **Debtor(s):**

Art and Architecture Books of the Represented By

Thomas M Geher David W. Meadows Jerome S Cohen Carolyn A Dye Alan I Nahmias

### **Defendant(s):**

Ace Gallery New York Corporation, Represented By

Alan W Forsley

Douglas Chrismas Represented By

Alan W Forsley

Ace Gallery New York, Inc., a Represented By

Alan W Forsley

ACE MUSEUM, a California Represented By

Alan W Forsley

400 S La Brea, LLC a California Represented By

Michael W Vivoli Ronald Rus Fahim Farivar Brian L Davidoff

Keith Patrick Banner

Jennifer Kellen Represented By

J. Bennett Friedman

Michael D. Smith Represented By

Brian L Davidoff

1/14/2022 1:23:05 PM

Page 5 of 14

## Los Angeles

## Judge Robert Kwan, Presiding Courtroom 1675 Calendar

Friday, January 14, 2022 Hearing Room 1675

9:00 AM

CONT... Art and Architecture Books of the 21st Century Chapter 11

Kamran Gharibian Represented By

Brian L Davidoff

Daryoush Dayan Represented By

Brian L Davidoff

Cathay Bank, a California Represented By

Ekwan E Rhow

Elliot C Harvey Schatmeier

Jennifer Kellen Represented By

Michael D Sobkowiak

**Plaintiff(s):** 

THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF Represented By

Victor A Sahn Daniel A Lev

David J Richardson

Asa S Hami Jessica Vogel

Official Committee Of Unsecured Represented By

David J Richardson Victor A Sahn

Sam Leslie Represented By

Victor A Sahn Carolyn A Dye David J Richardson Jason Balitzer Steven Thomas Stephen Sorensen

Judge Robert Kwan, Presiding Courtroom 1675 Calendar

Friday, January 14, 2022

**Hearing Room** 

1675

9:00 AM

2:13-14135 Art and Architecture Books of the 21st Century

Chapter 11

Adv#: 2:15-01679 THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS OF T v.

#2.00 Cont'd hearing re: Plan agent's motion to limine to exclude the expert report submitted by 400 S. La Brea fr. 8/18/21, 10/27/21

Docket 900

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

Updated tentative ruling as of 1/6/22. As the court orally stated at a prior trial session, the motion will be denied as the witness may give testimony on applicable industry standards. Appearances are required on 1/13/22, but counsel and self-represented parties must appear through Zoom for Government in accordance with the court's remote appearance instructions.

Prior tentative ruling as of 4/5/21. Somewhat agreeing with the 400 South La Brea Defendants' observation that the court's "gatekeeping" function is more relaxed in the context of a bench trial, as the court is both the "gatekeeper" and the trier of fact, and not a jury, the court is inclined to defer ruling on the motion in limine to exclude the witness's testimony until trial or at least until all the expert witnesses are designated and their reports are served since argument has been made that the witness's knowledge and experience in the field is a sufficient foundation for him to testify on industry standards, i.e., commercial/industrial real estate leasing. See 400 South La Brea Defendants' Opposition to Motion in Limine at 5-6 see also, Kansas City Southern Railway Co. v. SNY Island Levee Drainage District, 831 F.3d 892, 900 (7th Cir. 2016) ("Where a trial judge conducts a bench trial, the judge need not conduct a *Daubert* (or Rule 702) analysis before presentation of the evidence, even though he must determine admissibility at some point."); Pacific Fuel Co., LLC v. Shell Oil Co., Case No. CV 06-0225 AG (AJWx), 2008 WL 11336467 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 24, 2008), citing and quoting inter alia, Kona Technology Corp. v. Southern Pacific Transportation Co., 225 F.3d 595, 611 (5th Cir. 2000) ("[A] trial court's reliance on individuals experienced in a particular field for the purposes of obtaining explanation of the technical meaning of terms used in the industry is 'prudent.'"). Movant can renew the motion in limine at the start of trial, or interpose an objection to the testimony

Judge Robert Kwan, Presiding Courtroom 1675 Calendar

Friday, January 14, 2022

**Hearing Room** 

1675

9:00 AM

## **CONT...** Art and Architecture Books of the 21st Century

Chapter 11

or make a motion to strike. Considering movant's reply, the court makes the further observation that although the testimony may be ultimately inadmissible, it may be useful and helpful to the court for it to be considered as further argument on behalf of the proponent. Appearances are required on 4/7/21, but counsel and self-represented parties must appear through Zoom for Government in accordance with the court's remote appearance instructions.

### **Party Information**

#### **Debtor(s):**

Art and Architecture Books of the Represented By

Thomas M Geher David W. Meadows Jerome S Cohen Carolyn A Dye Alan I Nahmias

**Defendant(s):** 

Ace Gallery New York Corporation, Represented By

Alan W Forsley

Douglas Chrismas Represented By

Jonathan Seligmann Shenson

Ace Gallery New York, Inc., a Represented By

Alan W Forsley

ACE MUSEUM, a California Represented By

Alan W Forsley

400 S La Brea, LLC a California Represented By

Michael W Vivoli

Ronald Rus Fahim Farivar Brian L Davidoff

Keith Patrick Banner

Jennifer Kellen Represented By

1/14/2022 1:23:05 PM Page 8 of 14

### Los Angeles

## Judge Robert Kwan, Presiding Courtroom 1675 Calendar

Friday, January 14, 2022 Hearing Room 1675

9:00 AM

CONT... Art and Architecture Books of the 21st Century Chapter 11

J. Bennett Friedman

Michael D. Smith Represented By

Brian L Davidoff Keith Patrick Banner

Kamran Gharibian Represented By

Brian L Davidoff Keith Patrick Banner

Daryoush Dayan Represented By

Brian L Davidoff Keith Patrick Banner

Cathay Bank, a California Represented By

Ekwan E Rhow

Elliot C Harvey Schatmeier

Jennifer Kellen Represented By

Michael D Sobkowiak

**Plaintiff(s):** 

THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF Represented By

Victor A Sahn Daniel A Lev David J Richardson Asa S Hami

Asa S Hami Jessica Vogel

Official Committee Of Unsecured Represented By

David J Richardson Victor A Sahn

Sam Leslie Represented By

Victor A Sahn
Carolyn A Dye
David J Richardson
Jason Balitzer
Steven Thomas
Stephen Sorensen

1/14/2022 1:23:05 PM

Page 9 of 14

# United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Los Angeles Judge Robert Kwan, Presiding Courtroom 1675 Calendar

Friday, January 14, 2022 Hearing Room

9:00 AM

CONT... Art and Architecture Books of the 21st Century Chapter 11

1675

Judge Robert Kwan, Presiding Courtroom 1675 Calendar

Friday, January 14, 2022

**Hearing Room** 

1675

9:00 AM

2:13-14135 Art and Architecture Books of the 21st Century

Chapter 11

Adv#: 2:15-01679 THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS OF T v.

#3.00 Cont'd hearing re: Plan Agent's motion in limine to exclude the testimony of Douglas Chrismas fr. 8/18/21, 10/27/21

Docket 937

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

Updated tentative ruling as of 1/6/22. As the court orally stated at a prior trial session, the motion will be denied as the witness may give testimony consistent with the court's prior order of 6/22/21 on the plan agent's motion to strike the testimony of the witness which permitted the 400 South La Brea parties to call the witness at trial if the witness's trial declaration was filed and the parties had the right and opportunity to take the witness's deposition before trial. The witness's trial declaration was filed, and neither party chose to take the witness's deposition before trial. Appearances are required on 1/13/22, but counsel and self-represented parties must appear through Zoom for Government in accordance with the court's remote appearance instructions.

Prior tentative ruling. Regarding the motion, it is unclear whether the plan agent has attempted to take discovery of the witness specifically regarding the Banksy artwork dispute as he says that the witness has generally invoked the Fifth Amendment privilege in discovery proceedings in this case (there is no evidence in the moving papers showing that the witness invoked the Fifth Amendment privilege as to specific questions regarding the Banksy dispute, and furthermore, it does not appear that the proper steps to take discovery of the witness have been taken regarding the Banksy dispute, such as the service of a deposition notice or a witness subpoena regarding the Banksy dispute--service of an email request on the witness's counsel may be appropriate professional courtesy, but not legally sufficient here to warrant granting relief on this record). Moreover, the cases cited by the plan agent that a party who refuses to participate in discovery in a civil action by generally invoking the Fifth Amendment privilege forfeits the right to introduce evidence in the litigation as to matters which he or she has refused to testify

Judge Robert Kwan, Presiding Courtroom 1675 Calendar

Friday, January 14, 2022

**Hearing Room** 

1675

9:00 AM

# **CONT...** Art and Architecture Books of the 21st Century

Chapter 11

are not precisely on point as the witness is not offering evidence on this specific matter since he is being called as a witness by other parties, the 400 South La Brea Defendants, and not for himself. However, once the plan agent has taken the proper steps to take the witness's deposition and the witness has refused or evaded such attempts, it would be unfair and prejudicial to the plan agent to allow the witness to testify. If the witness is being called to testify at trial, a party should be able to take discovery of that witness's testimony through a deposition before trial. It seems to the court that since the witness is a party to the adversary proceeding, the plan agent can serve a notice of deposition rather than a subpoena to take the deposition, though probably, given the background and history of this litigation, the parameters of the deposition should be discussed in advance between the parties, the witness and his counsel, and the court. Appearances are required on 4/7/21, but counsel and self-represented parties must appear through Zoom for Government in accordance with the court's remote appearance instructions.

#### **Party Information**

#### **Debtor(s):**

Art and Architecture Books of the Represented By

Thomas M Geher David W. Meadows Jerome S Cohen Carolyn A Dye Alan I Nahmias

#### **Defendant(s):**

Ace Gallery New York Corporation, Represented By

Alan W Forsley

Douglas Chrismas Represented By

Jonathan Seligmann Shenson

Ace Gallery New York, Inc., a Represented By

Alan W Forsley

ACE MUSEUM, a California Represented By

Alan W Forsley

1/14/2022 1:23:05 PM

Page 12 of 14

### Los Angeles

### Judge Robert Kwan, Presiding Courtroom 1675 Calendar

Friday, January 14, 2022	Hearing Room	1675

9:00 AM

CONT... Art and Architecture Books of the 21st Century Chapter 11

400 S La Brea, LLC a California Represented By

Michael W Vivoli Ronald Rus Fahim Farivar Brian L Davidoff Keith Patrick Banner

Jennifer Kellen Represented By

J. Bennett Friedman

Michael D. Smith Represented By

Brian L Davidoff Keith Patrick Banner

Kamran Gharibian Represented By

Brian L Davidoff Keith Patrick Banner

Daryoush Dayan Represented By

Brian L Davidoff Keith Patrick Banner

Cathay Bank, a California Represented By

Ekwan E Rhow

Elliot C Harvey Schatmeier

Jennifer Kellen Represented By

Michael D Sobkowiak

**Plaintiff(s):** 

THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF Represented By

Victor A Sahn
Daniel A Lev
David I Bishard

David J Richardson

Asa S Hami Jessica Vogel

Official Committee Of Unsecured Represented By

David J Richardson Victor A Sahn

1/14/2022 1:23:05 PM

Judge Robert Kwan, Presiding Courtroom 1675 Calendar

Friday, January 14, 2022

**Hearing Room** 

1675

9:00 AM

**CONT...** Art and Architecture Books of the 21st Century

**Chapter 11** 

Sam Leslie

Represented By
Victor A Sahn
Carolyn A Dye
David J Richardson
Jason Balitzer
Steven Thomas
Stephen Sorensen